

MIT Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research



RESEARCH BRIEF

Intermittent versus Dispatchable Power Sources: An Integrated Competitive Assessment

Gunther Glenk and Stefan Reichelstein

The cost and revenue earnings potential of alternative power generation sources has shifted considerably in recent years. Here we introduce the concept of Levelized Profit Margins (LPM) to capture the changing unit economics of both intermittent and dispatchable generation technologies. We apply this framework in the context of the California and Texas wholesale power markets. Our LPM estimates indicate that solar photovoltaic and wind power have both substantially improved their competitive position over the years 2012-2019, primarily due to falling life-cycle costs of production. In California, these gains far outweigh an emerging "cannibalization" trend that results from substantial additions of solar power having made energy less valuable in the middle of the day. We also find the competitiveness of natural gas power plants to have either improved or held steady. For this generation technology, declining capacity utilization rates have effectively been counterbalanced by a "dispatchability price premium" that reflects the growing market share of intermittent renewables.

The costs of replacing dispatchable power sources based on fossil fuels with intermittent renewable power sources remain controversial. The life-cycle cost of renewables, in particular wind and solar power, is known to have fallen substantially over time (Jansen et al., 2020; Steffen et al., 2020; Rubin et al., 2015). Once deployed, these power sources also have effective priority in the marketplace due to their zero short-run production cost. In contrast, the life-cycle cost of traditional dispatchable generation sources tends to increase due to lower capacity utilization as these facilities are increasingly relegated to delivering output during hours when intermittent renewables are not available (Bushnell & Novan, 2021; Kök et al., 2020).

While all of these cost effects favor renewable power, countervailing effects emerge on the revenue side (Millstein et al., 2021; Das et al., 2020). First, renewables increasingly experience a "cannibalization" effect in jurisdictions where



RESEARCH BRIEF

significant additions of wind or solar power capacity cause market prices to fall during hours when renewable sources are at peak capacity (López Prol et al., 2020, Hirth, 2013). A second effect favoring the value generated by dispatchable energy sources is the price premium they earn at times of limited supply capacity due to the intermittency of renewables (Antweiler & Muesgens, 2021).

This paper provides an integrated assessment of the cost and value dynamics of solar photovoltaic (PV), onshore wind, and natural gas combined-cycle (NGCC) power plants in the context of the wholesale electricity markets in Texas and California. Our empirical findings are based on a novel metric termed the Levelized Profit Margin (LPM). This metric is shown to capture the relevant unit economics in terms of dollars per kilowatt-hour (kWh) for assessing the competitiveness of alternative power generation technologies. Key to the calculation of this profit margin is that the average market price for electricity in a particular year and jurisdiction is adjusted by a technology-specific factor that captures the covariance between real-time fluctuations in electricity prices and optimized capacity utilization rates. The economic profitability of a power generation facility thus hinges on a weighted average of the future technologyadjusted unit revenues to exceed the life-cycle cost of energy generation. A dynamic LPM analysis thus integrates the countervailing competitive effects due to technological improvements, shifts in capacity utilization, cannibalization, and the dispatchability price premium.

Our findings indicate that for the most part new

capacity investments in both renewables or natural gas plants undertaken during the years 2012-2019 are thus far not on track to become economically profitable. This finding may reflect that new investments were based on criteria that extend beyond expected net present values, such as renewable portfolio standards in California or the presence of "impact investors", such as technology firms investing in renewable energy projects (Borenstein, 2012; Comello et al., 2021).

At the same time, our results indicate that the estimated LPMs of new wind and solar energy projects have improved considerably and, by 2019, approached or exceeded the break-even value of zero. This finding is primarily due to substantial reductions in the life-cycle costs of these power sources. In California, the LPM improvements of solar PV have been partially offset by a tangible cannibalization effect (Woo et al., 2011, 2016). In contrast, solar PV has achieved a growing price premium in Texas, a state where solar power today still has a relatively modest market share.

For NGCC power plants in California, we find that falling capacity utilization rates have been counterbalanced by increasing dispatchability price premia. These two countervailing trends have resulted in steady but distinctly negative LPMs. In Texas, by contrast, profit margins for NGCC plants have improved due to higher utilization rates at times of higher power prices. This finding is consistent with the general observation that in Texas natural gas and wind power have gradually replaced coal-fired generation (Fell & Kaffine, 2018).

References

Jansen, M. et al. Offshore wind competitiveness in mature markets without subsidy. Nature Energy 5, 1–9 (2020).

Steffen, B., Beuse, M., Tautorat, P. & Schmidt, T. S. Experience Curves for Operations and Maintenance Costs of Renewable Energy Technologies. Joule 4, 359–375 (2020).

Rubin, E. S., Azevedo, I. M., Jaramillo, P. & Yeh, S. A review of learning rates for electricity supply technologies. Energy Policy 86, 198–218 (2015).

Bushnell, J. & Novan, K. Setting with the Sun: The impacts of renewable energy on conventional generation. Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists (2021).

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.



MIT Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research

RESEARCH BRIEF

Kök, A. G., Shang, K. & Yücel, S. Investments in Renewable and Conventional Energy: The Role of Operational Flexibility. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management (2020).

Millstein, D. et al. Solar and wind grid system value in the United States: The effect of transmission congestion, generation profiles, and curtailment. Joule 1–27 (2021).

Das, S., Hittinger, E. & Williams, E. Learning is not enough: Diminishing marginal revenues and increasing abatement costs of wind and solar. Renewable Energy 156, 634–644 (2020).

López Prol, J., Steininger, K. W. & Zilberman, D. The cannibalization effect of wind and solar in the California wholesale electricity market. Energy Economics 85, 104552 (2020).

Hirth, L. The market value of variable renewables: The effect of solar wind power variability on their relative price. Energy economics 38, 218–236 (2013).

Antweiler, W. & Muesgens, F. On the long-term merit order effect of renewable energies. Energy Economics 99, 105275 (2021).

Borenstein, S. The private and public economics of renewable electricity generation. Journal of Economic Perspectives 26, 67–92 (2012).

Comello, S., Reichelstein, J. & Reichelstein, S. Corporate Carbon Reduction Pledges: An Effective Tool to Mitigate Climate Change? (2021).

Woo, C. K., Horowitz, I., Moore, J. & Pacheco, A. The impact of wind generation on the electricity spot-market price level and variance: The Texas experience. Energy Policy 39, 3939–3944 (2011).

Woo, C. K. et al. Merit-order effects of renewable energy and price divergence in California's day-ahead and real-time electricity markets. Energy Policy 92, 299–312 (2016).

Fell, H. & Kaffine, D. T. The Fall of Coal: Joint Impacts of Fuel Prices and Renewables on Generation and Emissions. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 10, 90–116 (2018).

About the Authors



Gunther Glenk is an Assistant Professor for Sustainable Business Economics at the University of Mannheim. His research resides at the intersection of management and sustainable technology and generally addresses questions on the cost and speed of decarbonization. Recent work has focused on the economics of energy storage, in particular, of hydrogen and Power-to-Gas.



Stefan Reichelstein is the Director of the Mannheim Institute for Sustainable Energy Studies at University of Mannheim and the William R. Timken Professor for Accounting (Emeritus) at Stanford University. He is known internationally for his research on the interface of management accounting and economics. Much of his work has addressed issues in cost- and profitability analysis, decentralization, internal pricing and performance measurement. In recent years, his research has focused on the cost competitiveness of clean energy technologies in the power sector, sustainable transportation services, and carbon-free manufacturing processes.

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.